Introduction to multilevel algorithms

I: Basic strategy

Martin Lüscher, Theoretical Physics Department, CERN

School on "Computing Challenges in HEP @Exascale", Benasque, 20. September 2022

Current technical limitations in lattice QCD

- Rapidly growing autocorrelation times in the continuum limit
- Exponential loss of statistical precision at large distances

The primary goal of the multilevel strategy to be discussed is to improve the precision of hadron propagator computations

But there are further applications:

. . .

Wilson loops, glueball spectrum, generation of master fields, ...

As a representative case, consider ...

Fields of classical spins $\vec{s}(x)$ of unit length, $\vec{s}(x)^2 = 1$, on a 2d lattice

$$\begin{split} S(\vec{s}) &= -\beta \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu} \vec{s}(x) \cdot \vec{s}(x + \hat{\mu}) \\ \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathbf{D}[\vec{s}] \, \mathrm{e}^{-S(\vec{s})}, \qquad \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathbf{D}[\vec{s}] \, \mathcal{O}(\vec{s}) \mathrm{e}^{-S(\vec{s})} \end{split}$$

Will focus on computing the 2-point function

 $G(x-y) = \langle \vec{s}(x) \cdot \vec{s}(y) \rangle$

Markov-chain MC

Generate a chain of fields

$$\vec{s}_1(x) \to \vec{s}_2(x) \to \vec{s}_3(x) \to \dots$$

according to some transition probability density $T(\vec{s} \rightarrow \vec{s}')$

$$\int \mathcal{D}[\vec{s}'] T(\vec{s} \to \vec{s}') = 1$$

$$\int \mathbf{D}[\vec{s}] \,\mathrm{e}^{-S(\vec{s})} T(\vec{s} \to \vec{s}') = \mathrm{e}^{-S(\vec{s}')}$$

+ ergodicity requirements

$$\Rightarrow \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}(\vec{s}_k) = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \pm \text{statistical error}$$

statistical error =
$$\sqrt{\frac{2\tau(\mathcal{O})}{N}}\sigma(\mathcal{O})$$

 $\tau(\mathcal{O}) = \mathsf{integrated}$ autocorrelation time of \mathcal{O}

 $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) = \mathsf{standard}$ deviation of \mathcal{O}

• $\tau(\mathcal{O})$ depends on the simulation algorithm

• $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$ only on \mathcal{O}

Exponential loss of precision

In the case of ${\cal O}=\vec{s}(x)\cdot\vec{s}(y)$ and at large |x-y| $\langle {\cal O}\rangle\propto {\rm e}^{-m|x-y|}$

but

- $rac{1}{2} \leq au(\mathcal{O}) \leq au_{\mathrm{exp}}$ (a general property of Markov-chain MC)
- $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) = O(1) \qquad (explained later)$

and thus

relative statistical error
$$\propto \frac{\mathrm{e}^{m|x-y|}}{\sqrt{N}}$$

Ways to reduce the error

- 1. More powerful computers = wasteful, intellectual defeat!
- 2. Better simulation algorithms \Rightarrow smaller $\tau(\mathcal{O})$, but no exponential improvement
- **3**. Construct observables \mathcal{O}' such that

$$\langle \mathcal{O}' \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\mathcal{O}') \ll \sigma(\mathcal{O})$$

Improvement can be exponential!

The multilevel strategy is to construct improved observables by exploiting the locality of the theory

Why is $\sigma(\mathcal{O}) = O(1)$?



Local sampling

Consider a $T \times L$ lattice with periodic boundary conditions

At fixed spins on Λ_1 :

- Λ_0 and Λ_2 are decoupled
- Spin fields on Λ₀, Λ₂ may be sampled independently
- E.g. through a HMC algorithm



2-level algorithm

Level 0: n_0 global updates

Level 1: n_1 local updates at fixed spins on Λ_1

$$\underbrace{\vec{s}_1 \rightarrow \vec{s}_2 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \vec{s}_{n_0}}_{\text{global}} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \underbrace{\vec{s}_{n_0+1} \rightarrow \vec{s}_{n_0+2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \vec{s}_{n_0+n_1}}_{\text{local}} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \ldots$$

- Perform N such update cyles
- For all cycles $k = 1, \ldots, N$ compute

$$[\vec{s}(x)]_k = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \vec{s}_{k(n_0+n_1)+j}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad [\vec{s}(y)]_k = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \vec{s}(x) \cdot \vec{s}(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\vec{s}(x)]_k \cdot [\vec{s}(y)]_k \pm \text{statistical error}$$

Is this a correct algorithm?

Lemma: If $T_1(\vec{s} \to \vec{s}')$ and $T_2(\vec{s} \to \vec{s}')$ are valid transition probabilities, so is

$$(T_1T_2)(\vec{s} \to \vec{s}') = \int \mathcal{D}[\vec{r}] T_1(\vec{s} \to \vec{r}) T_2(\vec{r} \to \vec{s}')$$

In particular, T_1T_2 preserves the equilibrium distribution $e^{-S(\vec{s})}$.

\Rightarrow The spins

$$\vec{s}_{k(n_0+n_1)+i}(x)$$
 and $\vec{s}_{k(n_0+n_1)+j}(y)$

have the correct joint distribution, for all i, j, and

$$[\vec{s}(x)]_k \cdot [\vec{s}(y)]_k = \frac{1}{n_1^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_1} \vec{s}_{k(n_0+n_1)+i}(x) \cdot \vec{s}_{k(n_0+n_1)+j}(y)$$

is therefore a correct stochastic estimator of the 2-point function

Choice of n_0 and n_1

1. Choose n_1 such that

 $\sigma([s_1(x)]) \sim \langle [s_1(x)] \rangle$

at fixed $\vec{s}(z)$ on $\partial\Lambda$

2. Since

$$[\vec{s}(x)] \cdot [\vec{s}(y)] \sim e^{-2md} = e^{-m|x-y|}$$

the statistical error is then of order

 $\sqrt{\frac{2\tau}{N}} \mathrm{e}^{-m|x-y|}$

3. Increase n_0 until $\tau \simeq \frac{1}{2}$

$$P([s_1(x)] \mid \vec{s}(z) \text{ on } \Lambda_1)$$



Scaling behaviour

The number of updates is $N(n_0 + n_1)$, but only

 $n_1 \propto \mathrm{e}^{2md} = \mathrm{e}^{m|x-y|}$

scales exponentially with |x - y|

With respect to the 1-level algorithm, where $N \propto e^{2m|x-y|}$, an exponential improvement is thus achieved!

Essentially a consequence of the representation

 $\langle \vec{s}(x) \cdot \vec{s}(y) \rangle = \langle [\vec{s}(x)] \cdot [\vec{s}(y)] \rangle$

through exponentially small factors that can be computed independently

If you have some spare time ...

Design a 3-level algorithm with an even better scaling behaviour!