From instantons to the Yang-Mills gradient flow

History & resolution of a conceptual puzzle in non-perturbative QCD

Martin Lüscher, CERN Physics Department

IFT Xmas Workshop, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 9.-11. December 2015

Introduction

Discovery of instanton solutions in YM gauge theories

 \Rightarrow gauge fields carrying a non-zero value of the topological charge

$$Q = \int d^4x \, q(x), \qquad q(x) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x)^* F^a_{\mu\nu}(x),$$

may have important non-perturbative effects

Axial anomaly

Adler '69; Bell & Jackiw '69

In QCD with $N_{
m f}$ massless quarks, the axial anomaly

 $\partial_{\mu} j^5_{\mu}(x) = 2 N_{\rm f} q(x), \qquad j^5_{\mu} = {\rm flavour-singlet\ axial\ current},$

provides a link to the physics of quarks

Belavin et al. '75

Witten-Veneziano formula

In the large- $N_{\rm c}$ limit

$$\begin{split} m_{\eta'}^2 &= \frac{2N_{\rm f}}{F_{\pi}^2} \, \chi_t |_{N_{\rm f}=0} + {\rm subleading} \\ \chi_t &= \int_x \langle q(x)q(0) \rangle = \frac{\langle Q^2 \rangle}{V} \qquad (\text{``topological susceptibility''}) \end{split}$$

- \Rightarrow Topological fluctuations give $\eta'\text{-meson}$ a mass $\propto \Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$
- ⇒ Resolves so-called U(1)_A problem if $\chi_t|_{N_f=0} \simeq (190 \,\mathrm{MeV})^4$
- \Rightarrow Would like to compute χ_t in lattice QCD

However, the 2-point function

$$\langle q(x)q(0)\rangle \underset{x\to 0}{\sim} (x^2)^{-4}$$

has a non-integrable short-distance singularity

 \Rightarrow its Fourier transform

$$\langle q(x)q(0)\rangle \underset{\text{F.T.}}{=} c_0\Lambda^4 + c_1\Lambda^2 p^2 + c_2(p^2)^2 + (p^2)^3 \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}s \, \frac{\rho(s)}{s^3(s+p^2)}$$

is determined only up to contact terms

 $\Rightarrow \chi_t = c_0 \Lambda^4$ is not unambiguously defined!

The fields in 4d (Euclidean) QFT are typically nowhere continuous!

And without continuity there is no topology

- * Do the topological sectors in field space exist beyond the semi-classical approximation?
- \star Is there a sensible "universal" definition of χ_t ?
- ★ Can the WV formula be given an unambiguous meaning?

Milestones

- 1981: Smoothness & topology in lattice gauge theory.
- 1992-98: Lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry.
- **2002-04:** Universal "density-chain" formula for χ_t . Rederivation of the WV formula.
 - **2010:** Finiteness of the Yang-Mills gradient flow. Emergence of the topological sectors in the continuum limit.
 - **2015:** Equivalence of the gradient-flow and density-chain definitions of χ_t .

Smoothness & topology in lattice gauge theory

Any lattice gauge field can be smoothly deformed to the trivial field

But the subspace of fields satisfying

$$\|F_{\mu\nu}(x)\| < \frac{1}{2a^2} \ \text{for all} \ x,\mu,\nu$$

$$\uparrow$$

defined through plaquette loop

divides into disconnected charge sectors!



field space

Rigorously proved through the construction of a local topological charge density

- The topological charge sectors are included in the lattice theory
- In the functional integral, the bound $||F_{\mu\nu}|| < 1/2a^2$ however tends to be violated with high probability
- And there is no algebraic link to the lattice quark fields and the axial anomaly



- $\star\,$ Effect persists on a 5d lattice with Wilson-Dirac fermions and mass $\sim 1/a$
- ★ Even if coupled to an *s*-independent SU(3) gauge field
 ⇒ 4d lattice QCD with exactly massless quarks!

Effective 4d theory

$$S = S_{\rm G} + a^4 \sum_x \overline{\psi}(x) D\psi(x)$$

D: "Neuberger-Dirac operator"

D satisfies the "Ginsparg-Wilson relation"

 $\gamma_5 D + D\gamma_5 = aD\gamma_5 D$

 \Rightarrow the infinitesimal chiral transformations

$$\delta\psi = \lambda^a \gamma_5 (1 - aD)\psi, \qquad \delta\overline{\psi} = \overline{\psi}\gamma_5 \lambda^a$$

leave the action invariant!

The flavour-singlet transformation is anomalous since $Tr\{\gamma_5(1-aD)\} \neq 0$

Ginsparg & Wilson '82

Moreover, there exists a local gauge-invariant axial current such that

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\mu} j^{5}_{\mu}(x) &= 2N_{\rm f} q(x) \\ q(x) &= -\frac{a}{2} {\rm tr} \{ \gamma_5 D(x,x) \} \\ Q &= a^4 \sum_{x} q(x) = {\rm topological \ charge} = {\rm index}(D) \end{split}$$

holds exactly

- Full set of exact $U(N_f) \times U(N_f)$ chiral Ward identities
- As a function of the gauge field, D is discontinous across the charge sector boundaries, but provably smooth if $||F_{\mu\nu}|| < c/a^2$

Hernández, Jansen & ML '98

Density-chain formula for χ_t

For any given gauge field

 $\operatorname{Tr}\{\gamma_5 f(D)\} = f(0) \times \operatorname{index}(D)$

since $(\psi, \gamma_5 \psi) = 0$ if $D\psi = \lambda \psi$ and $\lambda \neq 0$

 \Rightarrow density-chain representations of Q

$$\begin{split} Q &= \mathrm{index}(D) = m^3 \operatorname{Tr}\{\gamma_5 (D+m)^{-3}\} \\ &= m^3 \times \operatorname{P} \checkmark \overset{\mathsf{S}}{\underset{\mathsf{S}}{}} \\ &= \mathrm{Wick \ contraction \ of} \left\{ m^3 \int_{x,y,z} P_{12}(x) S_{23}(y) S_{31}(z) \right\} \end{split}$$

Giusti, Rossi & Testa '04 ML '04 \Rightarrow If there are $N_{\rm f} \geq 5$ quark flavours with masses m_1, \ldots, m_5

- ★ Short-distance singularities are all integrable
- * Provides a universal definition of χ_t (with mP, mS canonically normalized)
- \star May use valence instead of sea quarks \Rightarrow formula for any $N_{
 m f} \geq 0$

The WV formula holds with the density-chain definition of χ_t

In lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry

Giusti et al. '02 Seiler '02

• The density-chain formula for χ_t coincides with the naive expression

$$\chi_t = a^4 \sum_x \langle q(x)q(0) \rangle$$

- $\chi_t = 0$ in massless QCD
- $\langle 0|q(0)|\eta'
 angle=m_{\eta'}^2F_\pi/\sqrt{2N_{\rm f}}$ to leading order of $1/N_{\rm c}$

Assuming $m_{\eta'}^2 \sim 1/N_{
m c},\, F_\pi^2 \sim N_{
m c},$ these properties imply the WV formula

The WV formula has acquired an unambiguous meaning in this way!

But does the field space divide into disconnected topological sectors?

Yang-Mills gradient flow

Consider the "flow of fields" $B_{\mu}(t,x)$, $t \geq 0$, defined by

$$B_{\mu}\big|_{t=0} = A_{\mu}$$

$$\partial_t B_\mu = D_\nu G_{\nu\mu}, \qquad G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu + [B_\mu, B_\nu]$$

Atiyah & Bott '82, ... [Morse theory of field space]

- Purely geometric equation
- Global existence is rigorously guaranteed on the lattice
- The solution is a gauge-covariant function(al) of A_{μ}

The evolution in the "flow time" t has a smoothing effect

$$\underbrace{\partial_t B_\mu = \Delta B_\mu}_{-} - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu B_\nu + \text{non-linear}$$

heat equation

Smoothing range = $\sqrt{8t}$

Correlation functions of gauge-invariant fields like

ML & Weisz '11

$$q(t,x) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(G^a_{\mu\nu} {}^* G^a_{\mu\nu} \right) (t,x)$$

do not require renormalization and have no short-distance singularities!

In particular, on the lattice

ML '10

$$\|G_{\mu
u}(t,x)\|\ll 1/a^2$$
 as $a
ightarrow 0$ at fixed t

with probability $1 - O(a^p)$

Emergence of the topological sectors as a ightarrow 0

Distribution of Q(t) at fixed smoothing range $\sqrt{8t} = 0.34\,{\rm fm}$



Interpretation

- \star The field space "between the sectors" very rapidly gets suppressed as $a \rightarrow 0$
- ★ Theoretically expected in view of $||G_{\mu\nu}(t,x)|| \ll 1/a^2$
- ★ The existence of the topological sectors is a dynamical property of QCD

Gradient-flow formula for χ_t

Does

$$\int_x \langle q(t,x)q(t,0)\rangle = \frac{\langle Q(t)^2\rangle}{V}$$

coincide with the density-chain χ_t ?

The expression is independent of t since

$$\partial_t q = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_\mu \left\{ \underbrace{\partial_t B^{a*}_{\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{gauge invariant}} \right\}$$

 \Rightarrow can make contact with $\langle q(x)q(0)\rangle$ and the density chains by taking $t \to 0$

The equality with the density-chain χ_t has been proved in the pure gauge theory Probably true in QCD too Cè, Consonni, Engel & Giusti '15

Finally, a number!

Using lattice gauge theory and the gradient flow

 $\chi_t|_{N_{\rm f}=0} = [190.6(1.6)\,{\rm MeV}]^4$

Continuum limit taken, finite-volume effects controlled

Conversion to physical units using the Sommer radius $r_0 = 0.5\,{
m fm}$

 \Rightarrow Almost too good — certainly large enough to resolve the U(1)_A problem

Del Debbio et al. '05 ML & Palombi '10 Cichy et al. '15 Cè et al. '15